

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7145

Title : Colonic polyps: is it useful to characterize them with advanced endoscopy?

Reviewer code: 01518286

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-06 17:00

Date reviewed: 2013-11-09 10:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a short review article indicating the current trend of contrast enhanced colonoscopy and virtual CE, particularly NBI. The paper well-written and summarized the current situation of CE and virtual CE adequately. However, although this review largely commented on endoscopic images, the attached endoscopic figures are poor. Photographic images are most important contents in papers referring to CE and pit pattern analysis. In this regard, Figures 5 and 6 are absolutely poor because these are distant view images and not magnified. Hence, Vi pit and Vn pit could not be identified with these images. The images should be changed to those with close-up and high-resolution view, and if possible, using magnification devices. Figures 2 and 3 may be placed in reversed order. There are many spaces missing between words, and there are some type errors.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7145

Title: : Colonic polyps: is it useful to characterize them with advanced endoscopy?

Reviewer code: 01513964

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-06 17:00

Date reviewed: 2013-11-11 06:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Delete the figures 1,2,3, 5 and 6

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7145

Title : Colonic polyps: is it useful to characterize them with advanced endoscopy?

Reviewer code: 02579196

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-06 17:00

Date reviewed: 2013-11-20 10:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written review on a topical subject with high quality illustrations. My minor comments are on the categorization of colonic polyps into neoplastic and non-neoplastic types. This should now be revised and expanded with our current knowledge on serrated polyps. SSAs, despite the fact that they are considered non-dysplastic, are neoplastic lesions with a definite potential to progression into malignancy. Traditional serrated adenomas are also neoplastic and dysplastic lesions. HP is the most innocuous type of serrated polyps but there is still some uncertainties as to whether some of these lesions may evolve to SSA. The authors might also want to comment on the utility of HD endoscopy to differentiate SSA from HP. PH page 8 should be HP. Minor editing is needed (a few lack of spaces between words throughout the manuscript)

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7145

Title: : Colonic polyps: is it useful to characterize them with advanced endoscopy?

Reviewer code: 01047714

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-06 17:00

Date reviewed: 2013-11-21 00:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review requested by the journal. Expresses the authors review The language is poor The subject reviews must finish with recommendations rather than conclusions. It would be interesting to consider the legal impact of discarding polyps