

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7904

**Title:** Hepatectomy of hepatocellular carcinoma in the liver transplantation era

**Reviewer code:** 02527554

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-05 18:45

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-14 15:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

# COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed current status of surgical treatment for primary HCC. Although the authors had discussed numerous strategies for improving the long-term outcome of patient undergone liver resection for HCC, the title seems not to fit with the context very well. These treatment strategies were all well established as rational treatment options without the evolving of liver transplantation. Additionally, the criterion of liver resection for primary HCC hasn't been changed by the evolution of liver transplantation so far. Although there was a debate regarding liver transplantation versus liver resection for Child A liver cirrhosis associated with early stage HCC, it has become more clear that liver resection remains the priority if liver resection is feasible. The beneficial role of liver transplantation in terms of hepatectomy for HCC is salvage liver transplantation for postoperative recurrent HCC. The major concern is how the salvage liver transplantation could provide benefit for patient in terms of the period between liver resection for primary HCC and salvage liver transplantation for recurrent HCC. Minor comments: There are numerous misspelling and grammatical errors in the manuscript.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7904

**Title:** Hepatectomy of hepatocellular carcinoma in the liver transplantation era

**Reviewer code:** 02527499

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-05 18:45

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-19 19:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

See attached Some area in the article require some revision: 1. Some minor typo 2. Need to describe more the recent studies of liver transplant for HCC 3. Need to describe more of the recent studies of hepatectomy with IVC reconstruction 4. Need to describe more of the recent studies of ex-vivo liver resection

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7904

**Title:** Hepatectomy of hepatocellular carcinoma in the liver transplantation era

**Reviewer code:** 02438650

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-05 18:45

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-20 19:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Comments for 7904 Liver transplantation is the best treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, it is limited by the realities of perioperative complications, and the shortage of donor organs. Furthermore, there are many available alternative treatments such as resection or locoregional therapy which clearly reviewed in this manuscript by Wen-Ping Lu, Jia-Hong Dong. My Minor comments: 1. According to the meaning of the title, numerous strategies or combination therapies do present viable options for abrogating tumor progression and potentially downsizing tumors to facilitate transplant, should be discussed in this review. 2. some misspelling and grammatical errors in the manuscript. For example: in page 3: "----- Therefore, hepatectomy become the first-line treatment of HCC" changed into "----- Therefore, hepatectomy remains the priority for treatment of HCC"?

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7904

**Title:** Hepatectomy of hepatocellular carcinoma in the liver transplantation era

**Reviewer code:** 01560464

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-05 18:45

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-23 14:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) The article is important guidance to clinicians who know about the hepatectomy status to treat HCC in liver transplantation era very well. 2) I suggest that the article can be published in the form of review in world J Gastroenterology .

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7904

**Title:** Hepatectomy of hepatocellular carcinoma in the liver transplantation era

**Reviewer code:** 00004882

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-05 18:45

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-27 07:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This paper describes strategies to increase the low resectability rate and reduce the high recurrence rate. The information is well summarized. But the paper includes several problems as described below. 1) In the session of portal embolization, ALPS should be added. 2) There is no description about solafenib. 3) There are several spell errors. "tree" should be "three". "surgical" should be "surgical". "disseted" should be "dissected". "parenchuma" should be "parenchyma".