

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7214

**Title:** H. PYLORI INFECTION – RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DIAGNOSIS

**Reviewer code:** 02535994

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-09 21:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-12 08:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe very detailed and interesting review about H. pylori diagnostic procedure. This review is very splendid content, and the result proved the benefit of H. pylori diagnosis. However, the authors had better concern the following comments to improve the manuscript.

1. I cannot find abstract, introduction, and conclusion. Authors should describe these materials.
2. Page 2, second paragraph. The authors should quote the paper of Fukase et al. to explain gastric cancer suppression after ESD. Fukase K, Kato M, Kikuchi S, et al. Effect of eradication of Helicobacter pylori on incidence of metachronous gastric carcinoma after endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2008; 372: 392-397.
3. The authors should insert the endoscopic figure which shows the characteristic of H. pylori infection.
4. The authors should insert the table which explains various diagnostic methods clearly and briefly.
5. Quantity of sentence is slight redundant, especially in the part of IHC in histology paragraph. The authors should shorten the manuscript a little more.
6. Page 11. The authors should describe the polymorphism of CagA and should mention that East Asian type of CagA raise the risk of gastric cancer.
7. Page 11. The authors should mention the immunostaining that is specific for East Asia type CagA. Uchida T, Kanada R, Tsukamoto Y, et al: Immunohistochemical diagnosis of the cagA-gene genotype of Helicobacter pylori with anti-East Asian CagA-specific antibody. Cancer Sci 98; 521-8: 2007

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7214

**Title:** H. PYLORI INFECTION – RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DIAGNOSIS

**Reviewer code:** 02523830

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-09 21:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-23 15:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This interesting article on H.Pylori –recent developments in diagnosis is well organized; involving all aspects of H.Pylori diagnosis with detailed analysis of each entity, new fields in diagnosis were added, but the following items needs certain consideration: 1- The article is too long with unnecessary fine details specially in endoscopy section 2- The article lacks the illustrated diagrams which could help in summarization for long data 3- The manuscript was deficient in introduction , conclusion sections 4- References were not uniform 5- Minor language errors

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7214

**Title:** H. PYLORI INFECTION – RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DIAGNOSIS

**Reviewer code:** 02535775

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-09 21:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-24 00:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. The title should be written clearly again without abbreviation like “H. Pylori” 2. There are not any abstract chapter and key words in this manuscript but needed. 3. Introduction chapter have been written without title but needed. 4. The aim of this manuscript should be written in introduction. 5. At the end of the discussion, conclusion (or comments) should briefly be added. 6. References have been written carelessly. It should be reevaluated according to the writing rules of this magazine. 7. The references numbered 7 and 10 are same. It should be checked. All these will entail complete revision of this manuscript and relocation of the references.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7214

**Title:** H. PYLORI INFECTION – RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DIAGNOSIS

**Reviewer code:** 02535772

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-09 21:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-02 16:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript includes a review of diagnostic methods of H pylori infection. It is well written and directed. It needs minor revision. 1. Instead of "non invasion", "non invasive" may be used. 2. The manuscript may include a "introduction". 3. The manuscript also may include a "conclusion" paragraph.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7214

**Title:** H. PYLORI INFECTION – RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DIAGNOSIS

**Reviewer code:** 02467909

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-09 21:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-05 13:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I complement the authors for an extensive literature review on this issue. However without an abstract, intro, methods and conclusion, the reader is left in the dark wondering about the purpose of this article. The individual paragraphs need to be tied into one another to improve the flow of the article as well as to engage the audience. The references and paragraph breaks etc. need to be examined carefully and made congruent with the journal. The sentence structure is far too verbose and thereby does not convey the individual statements to the reader in a sharp and concise fashion.