

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8943

Title: Imaging findings of primary gastric plasmacytoma: A case report

Reviewer code: 02577402

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2014-01-23 14:35

Date reviewed: 2014-01-28 17:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report described the imaging findings of a rare case of primary gastric plasmacytoma. Few literature described the imaging findings of this disease. It is good report, but there are also some problems. 1. The language needs a major revision. Find someone whose native language is English to revise the language for you. 2. Use of abbreviations: When using abbreviations in the text, you should give the full phrase at the first time using the abbreviations, later, you can always use the abbreviation. For example, when using primary gastric plasmacytoma (GP), give GP in the brackets following the full phrase, and later you can always use the abbreviation to indicate the full phrase. However, the authors did not abide by this rule all the time. In the abstract, if the full phrase is used only once, do not give the abbreviation. Even if you used some abbreviations in the abstract, you should also give both the full phrase and the abbreviation in the text when first using them. In this article, the authors used abbreviations in the abstract, but did not give the full phrase in the text. Correct this problem in the text. Give the full phrase of CT, MRI, ADC, DWI, GP etc. in the text when first using them. 3. Use of citations: When citing others' work, use the last name of the author followed by et al if there are more than one authors. For example, "Avcu S et al [10] evaluated gastric tumors by using ADC-----" in the forth passage in the DISCUSSION, "Avcu S et al" should be "Avcu et al". 4. References: Although there are quite a few papers regarding case reports of primary gastric plasmacytoma, there are really few case reports describing the imaging findings. In this case, this manuscript is quite good. However, some new references were not cited by the authors. Please check the PUBMED and cite some new case reports. For example: 1). Primary submucosal nodular plasmacytoma of the stomach: a poorly recognized variant of gastric lymphoma. by Kanzawa M,



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

Hirai C, Morinaga Y, Kawakami F, Hara S, Matsuoka H, Itoh T. Diagn Pathol. 2013 Feb 20;8:30. doi: 10.1186/1746-1596-8-30. 2). Complete remission of localised gastric plasmacytomas following definitive radiotherapy. by Tan J, Lade S, Harrison S, Opat S, Mac Manus MP. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2012 Jun;56(3):328-31. doi: 10.1111/j.1754-9485.2012.02369.x. Epub 2012 Apr 10.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8943

Title: Imaging findings of primary gastric plasmacytoma: A case report

Reviewer code: 02585371

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2014-01-23 14:35

Date reviewed: 2014-02-10 12:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript describes the CT, MR, endoscopic and endoscopic US findings of a case of primary gastric plasmacytoma. Case report: 1. Can the authors clarify what “..... symptoms of epigatric pain and repeated catch after eating” means? 2. From the CT and MR images provided, one cannot be confident that the mass is not arising from the pancreas and infiltrating into the stomach despite the authors conflicting comments that “The adjacent pancreas was compressed and displaced” and later said “The lesion infiltrated the adjacent pancreas and spleen.” Also, the finding that the mass was submucosal does not help to determine if tumor was arising from the stomach wall or infiltrating from adjacent viscera. From the CT and MR images, the mass did not appear to infiltrate into the spleen. Perhaps a curved multiplanar reconstructed image of the pancreas showing the relationship of the tumor to pancreas and spleen would be helpful. 3. Authors should reconsider the comment that a change in CT attenuation from 40.4HU to 84HU as moderate enhancement. It is mild enhancement. 4. Authors should reconsider comment that the lesion is submucosal on MR as this is impossible to determine on the provided images. 5. Can the authors comment if the gastric mucosa overlying the mass was ulcerated at endoscopy? 6. Can the authors comment how the biopsy specimen was obtained? Was it a blind biopsy at endoscopy, using endoscopic US or percutaneous CT/US guided? 7. Can the authors comment if serum and urine electrophoresis were performed? 8. Can the authors comment if other more sensitive imaging techniques, such as PET/CT or 99mTc Sestamibi scintigraphy, were performed to detect other sites of disease? 9. Can the authors discuss if histopathologic evaluation of the surgical specimen enabled determination of the organ of origin of the tumor, and if it was early or advanced stage primary gastric plasmacytoma? 10. Can the authors

comment if H pylori was present in the biopsy specimen? Discussion: 11. Authors should consider changing “gastroenterography” to “upper gastrointestinal series”. 12. Authors should change “Homogenous” to “homogeneous” throughout the manuscript. 13. I am not confident that the contrast enhancement characteristics allow differentiation of gastric plasmacytoma from gastric lymphoma, as suggested by the authors. Furthermore, the difference in ADC values between gastric lymphoma and plasmacytoma is insufficient to allow definitive differentiation based on ADC values alone, and the authors should emphasize this. 14. Authors should comment about the controversial management of gastric plasmacytoma, and comment on the utility of adjuvant chemotherapy/radiotherapy or primary treatment with radiotherapy. 15. Authors should comment on the prognosis of gastric plasmacytoma, and provide any data on the follow-up of their case. Figures and legend: 16. Fig 3 legend: Authors should consider deleting “raw” from the legend. 17. Annotations in the figures should be a suitable size relative to the image. References: Authors should consider including: Tan J, Lade S, Harrison S, Opat S, MacManus MP. Complete remission of localised gastric plasmacytomas following definitive radiotherapy. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2012;56:328-331



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8943

Title: Imaging findings of primary gastric plasmacytoma: A case report

Reviewer code: 02661987

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2014-01-23 14:35

Date reviewed: 2014-02-17 07:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, The manuscript on the imaging findings of primary gastric plasmacytoma is a case report (manuscript number: 8943). This manuscript is not original and is innovative and of mild relevance. The manuscript is not suitable for publication. Best regards,