

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9519**Title:** Diagnostic accuracy and differential diagnosis of EUS for rectal neuroendocrine tumors**Reviewer code:** 00187936**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma**Date sent for review:** 2014-02-15 15:55**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-02 19:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

None

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9519

Title: Diagnostic accuracy and differential diagnosis of EUS for rectal neuroendocrine tumors

Reviewer code: 00199523

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-02-15 15:55

Date reviewed: 2014-03-10 16:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript needs to be read by a native English speaker to correct the numerous editorial and syntactic error that made the manuscript uninteresting to read. Keywords included carcinoid. No carcinoid was mentioned in the Abstract. The Introduction is too shallow and short. More literature review should be added. Authors should qualify the use of tumour, whether benign or malignant. Methods: Statistical methods used should be included in the Methodology. Discussion: Unnecessarily long, with digression from the study conducted. The study should be contracted into a short report.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9519

Title: Diagnostic accuracy and differential diagnosis of EUS for rectal neuroendocrine tumors

Reviewer code: 02579112

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-02-15 15:55

Date reviewed: 2014-03-20 05:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting experience. The manuscript has grammatical and syntactic errors that need to be revised by a native English speaker/writer. The Introduction is too short. In Results section the authors should clarify the pathological characteristics of rectal NETs they treated (benign/malignant, G 1-2-3 (ki-67 and mitotic count), angioinvasivity, lymph-nodes, etc.). A table with the rectal NETs EUS characteristics (as percentage) could be useful. Discussion is too long. Furthermore, in