

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10457**Title:** Clinical epidemiology of gastric cancer in Hehuang valley of China: A 10-years epidemiological study for gastric cancer\***Reviewer code:** 02537284**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-01 23:02**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-25 09:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this study, authors conducted a clinical-epidemiology study of gastric cancer in Hehuang valley of Qinghai Province in China. They included 2379 cases of gastric cancer in recent 10 years. They analyzed the following criteria: Histopathologic classification of gastric cancer, tumor location according to the anatomy of the stomach, age, sex, ethnic origin and dietary factors. The epidemiology of gastric cancer in Hehuang valley shows differences in its prevalence according to race, sex, and age of patients according to the. Dietary habits were also important factors contributing to gastric cancer. The report represents a contribution to the study of the epidemiology of gastric cancer worldwide, especially in Asia. Considerations: - As the authors mention in the discussion section, an important limitation of this study was that they did not carry out an investigation of the socioeconomic status of patients with gastric cancer. - In the discussion section, should be included more comparisons of their results with those reported by other epidemiological studies previously carried out in different regions of China, and Asia in general. The information in this regards seems abundant. - I understand that the data obtained from the 10 years were separated into two groups for comparison and to establish a possible trend in the behavior of gastric cancer in this region. However, this aim should manifest at the abstract and in the research design. Moreover, the overall data (10 years) should be shown, possibly adding columns at the end of the tables.

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10457

**Title:** Clinical epidemiology of gastric cancer in Hehuang valley of China: A 10-years epidemiological study for gastric cancer\*

**Reviewer code:** 00743258

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-01 23:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-30 17:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reviewed a huge number of patients, however they reported about their results and the methods to obtain them in an unclear manner. They declared to have obtained the informed consent from all the patients in a 10 years retrospective study, this is unusual. They mixed ethnies and religions in dividing patients and so on with many other scientifically unsound issues. I think that data in this study cannot be considered for publication.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10457

**Title:** Clinical epidemiology of gastric cancer in Hehuang valley of China: A 10-years epidemiological study for gastric cancer\*

**Reviewer code:** 02438232

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-01 23:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-03 18:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The current manuscript aimed to study the clinical epidemiology of gastric cancer in hehuang valley of china. The results showed differences were existed in races, sex, and age of patients. Moreover, dietary habits was also one of the important factors contribute to gastric cancer. The paper is well organized and written. Quality of written English and Statistical review were accepted. However, the minor revision should be performed: 1.The manuscript structure should be re-organized. The table should just follow the reference part, not below each result. 2.In the reference part, the PMID and DOI were not needed. 3. Support Foundation should be mentioned in the Acknowledgement part.