

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7330

Title: Recurrent Hepatitis C after Liver Transplant

Reviewer code: 02527644

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-14 14:59

Date reviewed: 2013-11-27 16:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The issue addressed in this review is of strong interest. The manuscript is well written and appropriately structured. The authors have discussed all relevant points that most of the points associated with recurrent HCV infection after liver transplantation. Upon acceptance of the manuscript, I would advise to add some assessments of the possible value of antiviral maintenance therapy in liver transplant patients with recurrent hepatitis C that do not achieve sustained virological response to combination IFN/Rb.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7330

Title: Recurrent Hepatitis C after Liver Transplant

Reviewer code: 00036234

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-14 14:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-24 05:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent review focusing in detail to all aspects related to the recurrence of hepatitis C after liver transplantation.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7330

Title: Recurrent Hepatitis C after Liver Transplant

Reviewer code: 00504591

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-14 14:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-24 12:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review article entitled, "Recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplant" by deLemos AS et al., summarized the recent topics of antiviral treatment for hepatitis C virus in liver transplant recipients. I have a comment. Comments 1. "Treatment of recurrent HCV after transplant" section. Please make some discussion about the most prevalent antiviral treatment with PEG-INF and RBV (standard dual treatment), especially, the efficacy of it, when it achieve SVR, on the patient/graft survival should be debated a little bit more.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7330

Title: Recurrent Hepatitis C after Liver Transplant

Reviewer code: 00012156

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-14 14:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-24 12:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

DeLemos et al. described the review of the recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. The authors stated the present status of the liver transplantation for hepatitis C, difficulty of the diagnosis of recurrence and rejection, biomarker for diagnosis, and the therapy for the recurrence. There some mistakes for description and it had better to some more explanations . Minor comments: Page 5: data were different from those in table 2. Page6: upper paragraph was written about patients with hepatitis C, not patients with transplantation. In page 21 there is the description, therefore, the upper paragraph in page 6 was not necessary? Page 9: the explanation of risks of donor age and cold ischemic time are needed. Page 10: does“transplant with HCC” means that there is HCC in the graft? Page 11: mEq/mL was incorrect? Copies/ml? Page 14: it is better to describe the explanation of IL28B and CC.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7330

Title: Recurrent Hepatitis C after Liver Transplant

Reviewer code: 00504602

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-14 14:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-24 13:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript was submitted as a Review Article to the World Journal of Gastroenterology, first authored by Andrew S. de Lemos, originating from Carolinas Medical Center. The authors provide some discussion of differences in survival rates and morbidity/mortality between LDLT and DDLT in the article "Recurrent Hepatitis C after Liver Transplant". In my mind, there was significant merit in your work. However, a number of questions and concerns were raised that preclude acceptance in its current form. Table 1 and 2: It's better to put the year which the articles were published. Table 2: This table is a little complicated and unclear. e.g. Drug: partial and Efficacy: partial.... This Table should be revise. Immunosuppression – Corticosteroids section: The authors have to add the article prospective, randomized, multicenter trial regarding steroid-avoidance regimen after LDLT (Liver Transpl. 2013 Aug;19(8):896-906) Additionally, to describe the impact of immunosuppressive regimen on HCV recurrence after liver transplantation, the authors have to add the article of Liver transplantation from an identical twin without immunosuppression, with early recurrence of hepatitis C (Am J Transplant. 2006 Nov;6(11):2812-6.).

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7330

Title: Recurrent Hepatitis C after Liver Transplant

Reviewer code: 00054585

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-14 14:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-26 23:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review describes prospective studies and some recent advances in the research of recurrent hepatitis C during liver transplantation. Very few advances in the treatment of hepatitis C have been made recently, and the development of potent direct acting antiviral agents will hopefully fundamentally changes the outcome for patients infected with hepatitis C in liver transplantation.

No further comments.