

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8025

Title: Neuroendocrine carcinoma of gallbladder Report of 2 cases

Reviewer code: 00068798

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-12-12 17:46

Date reviewed: 2013-12-19 09:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Minor language polishing, especially with red marker. 2 What is demonstrated in Figure 1a and 1b were not in correspondence to words in the article (1a) and illustration (1b). A few alterations are suggested. 3 More detail of imaging features is suggested, such as CT enhancement characteristic etc.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8025

Title: Neuroendocrine carcinoma of gallbladder Report of 2 cases

Reviewer code: 00503600

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-12-12 17:46

Date reviewed: 2014-01-13 04:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS: The Manuscript Neuroendocrine carcinoma of gallbladder. Report of 2 cases, by Hao Chen et al. is a very interesting report on two rare cases. The authors could consider the immunohistochemical demonstration of any specific peptide present in the chromogranin A positive cells. Although the patients had no hormone-related symptoms peptides may be present but not secreted or secreted in abnormal forms. Therefore, in order to further improve the quality of the paper, if possible, I could recommend immunostaining at least for pancreatic polypeptide and somatostatin, peptides previously reported in neuroendocrine tumors of the gallbladder.