

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7473

Title: Contribution of WR 2721 (Amifostine) to the antioxidant and hepatoprotective effects of UW and HTK preservation solutions

Reviewer code: 02461636

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-20 20:00

Date reviewed: 2013-12-28 09:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the manuscript: Antioxidant and cytoprotective effect of amifostine, Akbulut et al. have examined the protective effect of amifostine in preservation of liver in combination with known protective agents. The results are well represented and define the suitability of amifostine along with HTK and UW preservation solutions. Major: In order to further examine the cytoprotective effect of these solutions, the authors should also examine the effect of these agents on human liver cells (or cell lines) whichever is available. This analysis will help to correlate the cytoprotective effect of amifostine that could be observed when human tissues are preserved before transplantation. If the data on HTK and UW is available in human cells, they should discuss and cite these references in the paper. Minor: Some spelling errors found on page 3 (abstract): laparotomy versus laparotomy o-observed versus observed

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7473

Title: Contribution of WR 2721 (Amifostine) to the antioxidant and hepatoprotective effects of UW and HTK preservation solutions

Reviewer code: 02441174

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-20 20:00

Date reviewed: 2013-12-28 13:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic presented by the authors is very important due to the fact that there is an increasing number of transplanted organs. The manuscript contains the knowledge concerning the issue of lessening the adverse effects accompanying the transplantation but to a limited extent. Despite this, the manuscript is innovative. The title reflects the main theme and content of the material analyzed with the exception of the information that it is a randomized research. The abstract is clear for the reader. The research (as each randomized research) lacks the following data: randomization method, method of group size calculation, conducting interim analysis, trial algorithm, primary and secondary outcome measures, consort diagram. The results are shown quite clearly, however, I would recommend to introduce changes in the individual chapters. In graphics 1,3,4,5 there is no description of a vertical axis. The discussion is well planned. All issues raised in the chapter results are presented clearly and understandably. The language evaluation is grade C with the necessity of some language polishing – grammar mistakes. In case of acceptance, the manuscript should be read and corrected by a native speaker.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7473

Title: Contribution of WR 2721 (Amifostine) to the antioxidant and hepatoprotective effects of UW and HTK preservation solutions

Reviewer code: 00504791

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-20 20:00

Date reviewed: 2014-01-10 09:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this is a nice article the data is reasonably presented further study details are needed