

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7098

Title: MULTIMODALITY THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF POTENTIALLY CURATIVE GASTRIC CANCER: GEOGRAPHICAL VARIATIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

Reviewer code: 00058455

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-07 09:53

Date reviewed: 2013-11-14 13:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank your for your contribution. This a well constructed review article.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7098

Title: MULTIMODALITY THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF POTENTIALLY CURATIVE GASTRIC CANCER: GEOGRAPHICAL VARIATIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

Reviewer code: 00183059

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-11-07 09:53

Date reviewed: 2013-11-20 07:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) An abbreviation should be explained when first used (example. mths). In addition, the author used "months" instead of mths in the later section. 2) This review might be tough to read for the readers. Thus, I recommend to make the tables or strategy tree for each section and to explain the therapy regimen and details. If you could do this, the reader will easily understand.