

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7572

Title: Is there a role for colon capsule endoscopy beyond colorectal cancer screening? A literature review

Reviewer code: 02531237

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 18:51

Date reviewed: 2013-11-26 19:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Authors. Triantafyllou and colleagues thoroughly conducted a review on videocapsule endoscopy. Particularly they focused their attention on coloncapsule. On this issue, they brought to the readers very updated information and a critical overview. Although, CCE is not a real novelty and many data have been already presented, the authors specifically analyzed two areas where full agreement on CCE usefulness is still debated. Minor revision: Mentioning the author's unpublished data, among other full published studies (moreover, in top level endoscopy journals), is not unnecessary for the manuscript comprehension and do not add further information or value to the data showed. (indication section; page 5, line 5). We recommend the author to remove this information from the text.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7572

Title: Is there a role for colon capsule endoscopy beyond colorectal cancer screening? A literature review

Reviewer code: 01430813

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 18:51

Date reviewed: 2014-01-06 20:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting review, although the current available data on this subject is still very limited, precluding a solid evidence-based conclusion or recommendation at this moment. The authors review the role of capsule endoscopy in colorectal cancer screening. In this context, it will be important to inform the reader about the cost of the procedure as compared with other modalities of screening. Another relevant issue to be discussed is the reading time needed for completion of the procedure, which can make it less attractive. It would be important to discuss the potential implications of this matter in the clinical practice. Although most cases of capsule retention and intestinal obstruction reported in the literature were related to the small bowel, it would be important to mention these potential complications.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7572

Title: Is there a role for colon capsule endoscopy beyond colorectal cancer screening? A literature review

Reviewer code: 02456810

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 18:51

Date reviewed: 2014-01-10 11:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A solid and interesting review that highlights the clinical utility of colonic capsule for 2 important indications I suggest further emphasizing the inability to perform CRC/dysplasia surveillance with colonic capsule for UC, as well as significant discrepancy in the evaluation of disease extent and severity

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7572

Title: Is there a role for colon capsule endoscopy beyond colorectal cancer screening? A literature review

Reviewer code: 01544019

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 18:51

Date reviewed: 2014-01-14 10:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review shows relatively good survey of the published articles on the topic of colon capsule endoscopy beyond CRC screening. However, in the abstract portion, I recommend to insert the summary of final paragraph of conclusion (Since capsule endoscopy cannot perform --- inconsistent results.). On page 6, what's reference in 'CTC may miss lesion --- 10% of patients'? On page 10, would you like to summary the contents of data of 2013 United European Gastroenterology Week in Berlin, Germany in page 10 in brief?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7572

Title: Is there a role for colon capsule endoscopy beyond colorectal cancer screening? A literature review

Reviewer code: 00159393

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 18:51

Date reviewed: 2014-01-20 01:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No comments