

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9851

Title: Capsule endoscopy in Crohn's disease: Are we seeing any better? A review of the literature

Reviewer code: 01438558

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-03-01 20:00

Date reviewed: 2014-03-14 01:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have reported a review of capsule endoscopy in Crohn's disease. This review is overall well-written. I have a few comments as below. 1.The specificity of CE seems still low in diagnosing CD. What about combining CE with other modalities to overcome its weakness while we are able to take various options? 2. If possible, are there any further recommendations that CE should be conducted to UC or IBDU patients before operation to change clinical management?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9851

Title: Capsule endoscopy in Crohn's disease: Are we seeing any better? A review of the literature

Reviewer code: 01800335

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-03-01 20:00

Date reviewed: 2014-03-15 23:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review paper summarizes a large number of CE studies in Crohn's disease and the authors should be commended for their in depth review of the literature. Unfortunately, they missed the opportunity to share their considerable expertise by interpreting what these studies mean in a broader clinical or research context. There is no associated commentary, no provision of context, no "take home message" for the reader. I doubt that informed readers would take away from this paper any sense of the current place of CE in CD. What is needed is a substantial revision and expansion of the paper to do more than summarize these studies, but to interpret what they mean and to tell the reader how and when to use CE in CD and the evidence supporting those recommendations.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9851

Title: Capsule endoscopy in Crohn's disease: Are we seeing any better? A review of the literature

Reviewer code: 02725329

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-03-01 20:00

Date reviewed: 2014-03-16 16:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Author: In this review article, authors indicated usefulness of video capsule endoscopy (CE) for diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease (CD). They compared the diagnostic accuracy of CD by CE and other radiologic imaging or endoscopy. They also showed the data of postoperative recurrence and disease monitoring. In addition, they mentioned the problem of capsule retention due to small bowel strictures. This manuscript would indicate various data about CE for CD. Major points: 1. Capsule retention The data of Agile Patency Capsule was relatively insufficient. Authors should increase the data more. Minor points: 1. Establishing a diagnosis of Crohn's disease. P3, line 18. SBR with an IY of 32% (56% vs. 16%) P3, line 20. SBR with an IY of 38% (71% vs. 36%) Authors should correct these numbers.