

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8000

Title: Clinical feature of small intestinal mucosal lesion of the chronic NSAID users in the cases of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding

Reviewer code: 00035694

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 16:39

Date reviewed: 2013-12-13 06:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This retrospective study analyzed the clinical feature of small intestinal mucosal lesion of the chronic NSAID users in the cases of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. The paper is ready for publication.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8000

Title: Clinical feature of small intestinal mucosal lesion of the chronic NSAID users in the cases of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding

Reviewer code: 00034616

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 16:39

Date reviewed: 2013-12-15 18:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting manuscript, please review as per instructions: Please be cautious with abbreviations LDA? second page rephrase the data analysis section, with particular attention in the description of erosion/ulcer (consider a schematic presentation and/or figure with explanations), very useful here! ?what is the difference of non-aspirin NSAID with NSAID? most of us are used to NSAID, aspirin or other categorisation please give example of thienopyridine antiplatelet thank you for your work

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8000

Title: Clinical feature of small intestinal mucosal lesion of the chronic NSAID users in the cases of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding

Reviewer code: 02604927

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 16:39

Date reviewed: 2013-12-16 04:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting work, your power for the conclusion is limited, but as a hypothesis building statement, seems a worthy endeavour as a short report or letter to the editor.