

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10819

Title: Magnetic imaging-assisted colonoscopy vs. conventional colonoscopy: a randomized controlled trial.

Reviewer code: 02823396

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-04-21 19:32

Date reviewed: 2014-04-22 18:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read with great interest this paper, and I would like to congratulate the authors for their results, because validate our clinical practice with conventional colonoscopy. In my opinion MIC could just be useful when a trainee begins to learn endoscopy, knowing to obtain a proper positionation of the endoscope.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10819

Title: Magnetic imaging-assisted colonoscopy vs. conventional colonoscopy: a randomized controlled trial.

Reviewer code: 02731744

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-04-21 19:32

Date reviewed: 2014-04-22 19:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This randomized control trial is well design, and written. My recommendaiton is only to change the consulison as follow; Although within a subgroup of more challenging cases, MIC resulted in faster times-to-cecum, The latest version of MIC was no better than CC in terms of patient comfort, sedation requirements and endoscopic procedural metrics, when performed in experienced hands.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10819

Title: Magnetic imaging-assisted colonoscopy vs. conventional colonoscopy: a randomized controlled trial.

Reviewer code: 02529109

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-04-21 19:32

Date reviewed: 2014-04-24 20:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is very interesting especially for the endoscopists but also other physicians involved in the gastrointestinal diagnostic procedures and clinical problems. The Author/Authors evaluated the latest generation of magnetic imaging-assisted colonoscopy (MIC) in comparison to conventional colonoscopy. The consecutive patients undergoing elective outpatient colonoscopy were studied In this randomized clinical trial. The study was well designed. I have no objections concerning the methodology and assessment of the results. In my opinion the manuscript can be accepted for publication.