

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6960

Title: Enteral stents for the Management of Malignant Colorectal Obstruction

Reviewer code: 02861598

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2013-10-31 16:09

Date reviewed: 2013-12-27 05:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nicely written review on the use of enteral stents for malignant colorectal obstruction. I have few suggestions: 1. Previously, there have been some concerns regarding the technical safety of stents for proximal (right-sided) colonic obstruction. Authors have made brief comments about this in the "Techniques" section (Ref # 32) and in "Complications" section. Discussion on this topic in a bit more detail in order to allay fears on its safety (if performed by an experienced interventionist) would be helpful for a reader. A recent large study has shown that the use of stents in proximal colon is safe with high technical success (World J Gastroenterol. 2011 July 28; 17(28): 3342-3346). 2. Same references have been included more than once- Ref. #'s: 23 and 24; 27 and 31; 20 and 28; 30, 42 and 49; 33 and 34; 48 and 50; 29 and 57. Please remove these repetitions. 3. Several references do not match the text- Ref # 20 in "stent migration"; Ref # 31 (in "Techniques" section- Additionally, the complexity of colonic stenting.....). 4. There are several grammatical errors throughout the manuscript. 5. Antibiotic prophylaxis is usually given prior to deployment of stents due to high risk of bacteremia (or microperforation) during the procedure. Adding a comment about this in "Techniques" section would be helpful for a reader. 6. Please write "Bevacizumab" instead of "Avastin" (page-6, 1st paragraph). 7. Conclusion is lengthy. Since conclusion section should include only the most important points from the discussion, I would suggest re-writing the last paragraph. 8. Please provide reference for the following statements: - Vemulapalli et al found more late term complications..... (page-6, 1st paragraph) - However, Song et al demonstrated..... (page-6, 2nd paragraph) - Clinically mild bleeding is the most commonly seen(page-6, 3rd paragraph) - The incidence of covered stent migration..... (page-6, 4th paragraph) - Some authors have advocated use



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

of carbon dioxide..... (page-7, 3rd paragraph) - Nonetheless dilation of the bowel prior..... (page-7, last paragraph)