

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6461

Title: Probiotics Against Neoplastic Transformation of Gastric Mucosa. Effects on Cell Proliferation and Polyamine Metabolism

Reviewer code: 00000774

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 08:59

Date reviewed: 2013-11-18 17:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This long and heavy review article indicated the impact of probiotics on gastric carcinogenesis. The biological and clinical significance of the issue is not so high because the impact of H. pylori is definitive and conclusive on gastric cancer development. Moreover, eradication therapy of H. pylori has been established. Therefore, although microorganisms other than H. pylori may have some roles on gastric cancer development, their biological and clinical impact should be small and of little consequence. This review is interesting in a pedantic view. There were some type errors (page 10; line 1, page 14; line 16, page 16; line 19, page 17; line 4 and the last line).

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6461

Title: Probiotics Against Neoplastic Transformation of Gastric Mucosa. Effects on Cell Proliferation and Polyamine Metabolism

Reviewer code: 00055427

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 08:59

Date reviewed: 2013-12-02 20:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review supply useful therapeutically and pathogenetic input however it is not discursive. In particular, the authors should revise the paragraph "protection of gastric mucosa and stabilization of the GI barrier function". This paragraph seems to be only a list of articles; the authors could create a table to make easy the knowledge. The authors should add new information about anti neoplastic effects of prebiotic and symbiotic (Malaguarnera G 2012, Uccello M 2012) . Check the bibliography.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6461

Title: Probiotics Against Neoplastic Transformation of Gastric Mucosa. Effects on Cell Proliferation and Polyamine Metabolism

Reviewer code: 00225277

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 08:59

Date reviewed: 2014-03-13 22:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is a complex and complete overview on the influence of probiotics in gastric mucosal inflammation/infection and gastric cancer. This review is based on a large number of references and many concepts are based on basic investigation and remain under discussion. The subject of this review is important because it involves a complex field needing the type of overall revision as presented in the paper. This review is reliable and has updated information on probiotics related to gastric mucosa and will probably stimulate clinical and basic investigation in this new fields which until only a few years ago has been considered of little value in clinical practice. This very long text is clear enough and introduces queries concerning patient evaluation not only related to gastric cancer but also to diffuse digestive complaints frequent in a significant number of patients. This review is an important tool for updating knowledge on probiotics and despite the length and complexity of the paper it merits to be published as is. One important concern is the difficulty to follow the large number of acronyms that needs a specific table such as an "acronym dictionary". It was impossible for this reviewer to see the figures which probably serve for clarifying some aspects of the text.