

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6622

Title: (ID 00182878) Gastric Cancer Arising from the Remnant Stomach after Distal Gastrectomy: A Review

Reviewer code: 00504213

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-10-25 19:55

Date reviewed: 2013-12-11 14:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

GENERAL COMMENTS: Generally, this manuscript is interesting and well-reviewed about the gastric stump carcinoma after distal gastrectomy. The previous publication concerning to this issue has already been outdated, so it is meaningful to combine the new review article with recent advances. Overall impression of this manuscript is good and this manuscript would fulfill the criteria of acceptance after the reversion according to the suggestions as follows; **SPECIFIC COMMENTS:** 1. This is a review manuscript, but eight authors provided on the manuscript. Does everybody really participate in this manuscript? 2. *Helicobacter pylori* should be indicated as italic. 3. In the section about *Helicobacter pylori* infection, were the patients the carriers of *Helicobacter pylori* at the time of the first operation? 4. Including *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastroduodenal reflux, does the existence and the severity of remnant gastritis have relevance to carcinogenesis? 5. The title of number 14 in the section of reference is written in capital letters. It is suppose to be written in small letters, isn't it? 6. Unnecessary lines should be removed from all the tables. 7. What is the difference between the "interval" in the middle and that on the right of Table 1? 8. The meanings of "ana" and "non" are difficult to decipher in Table 2. If they are the abbreviation, the explanations are necessary under the table.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6622

Title: (ID 00182878) Gastric Cancer Arising from the Remnant Stomach after Distal Gastrectomy: A Review

Reviewer code: 02572232

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-10-25 19:55

Date reviewed: 2013-12-27 22:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors review the gastric cancer arising from the remnant stomach after distal gastrectomy in this paper. 1.The subject deserves attention. 2. The references listed are comprehensive and this paper shows a higher level of English writing. overall evaluation is good.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6622

Title: (ID 00182878) Gastric Cancer Arising from the Remnant Stomach after Distal Gastrectomy: A Review

Reviewer code: 02439561

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-10-25 19:55

Date reviewed: 2014-01-03 15:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I suggest that you'd better write this manuscript differently with review by whose mother tongue is English.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6622

Title: (ID 00182878) Gastric Cancer Arising from the Remnant Stomach after Distal Gastrectomy: A Review

Reviewer code: 00052063

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-10-25 19:55

Date reviewed: 2014-01-06 13:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Takeno et al. reviewed gastric stump carcinoma receiving several types of distal gastrectomy. This review contains instructive contents, then can be published in an international journal. But the following issue should be addressed. Difference in HP and EB infection rate among stomachs receiving types of reconstruction was mentioned. How about the rate of gastric atrophy in the non-neoplastic mucosa? Especially, rate of of interstitial metaplasia between stomachs receiving Billroth II reconstruction and B-I reconstruction is of great interest.