

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7979**Title:** Current issues and future perspectives of gastric cancer screening**Reviewer code:** 00074961**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma**Date sent for review:** 2013-12-11 11:36**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-13 02:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-I would not mention that the lung cancer mortality rate has increased and that the colorectal cancer mortality rate has increased (last paragraph of Introduction); this is not the subject of your manuscript. -You forgot the space in Table1 (second line of the first paragraph of "Current status of gastric cancer screening in Japan). -I would delete the text of Figure 1. It is too long and it is written in Introduction.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7979

Title: Current issues and future perspectives of gastric cancer screening

Reviewer code: 00039434

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 11:36

Date reviewed: 2013-12-29 17:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper the authors extensively reviewed the effectiveness of 3 new gastric cancer screening techniques, namely, endoscopic screening, serological testing, and screen and treat method. The paper is well structured, but authors reported data on the screening of gastric cancer limited to Japan and Korea's population, without European studies' population. Some typing errors are present and English language could be improved.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7979

Title: Current issues and future perspectives of gastric cancer screening

Reviewer code: 00199528

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 11:36

Date reviewed: 2014-01-03 15:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: *Abstract should be revised and references should be omitted. * Figure 1 should be omitted. * The manuscript must be extensively edited for the grammar and meaning. Author can find some corrections at attached file. * Table 1: What is the meaning of “rate of diagnostic test”? * Table 2: What is the meaning of “sensitivity by incidence method”? The incidence rates are equal, even higher than prevalence rates! * What is the meaning of “grade 4 risk of gastric cancer”?

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7979

Title: Current issues and future perspectives of gastric cancer screening

Reviewer code: 00183445

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 11:36

Date reviewed: 2014-01-03 20:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Detailed comment: Somewhat greater accuracy requires a description of endoscopic and histological procedures ie what markers are taken into account in addition to atrophy.