

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9439

**Title:** A phase II study of induction chemotherapy with S-1 and gemcitabine followed by oral S-1 with concurrent IMRT for locally advanced pancreatic cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00032020

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-02-20 14:50

**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-27 08:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9439 Title: A phase II study of induction chemotherapy with S-1 and gemcitabine followed by oral S-1 with concurrent IMRT for locally advanced pancreatic cancer Qinghua Ke et al. This is a very well conducted study. The result was appropriate and toxicity was generally well tolerated. However, the authors need to describe the following points. Major; 1. Adjuvant chemotherapy might influence the efficacy of this trial. What kind of treatment did patients receive after completion of chemoradiotherapy? Without adjuvant chemotherapy, it was difficult to achieve 9.3 months of progression-free survival, and 15.2 months of overall survival. 2. The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board. Was the study registered in a publicly accessible database? If so, the accessible site should be shown. There were several mistakes in the manuscript. Authors should carefully check it again. Minor; 1. In the Abstract, there was nothing written about purpose. Next, how many patients completed the treatment schedule? Authors mentioned 32 patients in Patients in Methods, but 30 patients in Results. Which was correct? 2. Abbreviations should be shown when they were firstly used in the text. Also, abbreviation should not be used in the title and in the abstract. For example, IMRT, and SBRT. 3. Graphic feature of Figure 1 was very poor. Figure 1 should be revised more correctly.