

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5849

Title: Phase I Study of Postoperative Radiotherapy Combined with Capecitabine for Gastric Cancer

Reviewer code: 00532979

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-09-29 12:23

Date reviewed: 2013-09-30 21:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very well written article pointing a very important question in postoperative management of gastric carcinomas; however i have following three concerns (1) how sample size for this phase I was calculated ? or you treated consecutive patients (2) It would be nice if you provide figures for radiation therapy techniques (3) i could not see OS and DFS survival curves

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5849

Title: Phase I Study of Postoperative Radiotherapy Combined with Capecitabine for Gastric Cancer

Reviewer code: 00608195

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-09-29 12:23

Date reviewed: 2013-10-07 17:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This a very interesting phase I trial about capecitabine combined with IMRT for locally advanced gastric cancer. Although the small number of patients involved, the trial was well done and the conclusions are according to another trial published and are correct. This is an interesting issue because utilize IMRT in the treatment for locally advanced gastric cancer and it will be very important to do a phase II trial.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5849

Title: Phase I Study of Postoperative Radiotherapy Combined with Capecitabine for Gastric Cancer

Reviewer code: 00724492

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-09-29 12:23

Date reviewed: 2013-10-11 16:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, The article describes a selected population of locally advanced gastric cancer (n=18) treated at a radiation oncology center. The article is original, well written and informative. Unfortunately there is no attempt to meaningfully categorize this small number of patients. Reading through this article as currently written provides no meaningful information to the reader, nor does it help him or her in the management of small number of patients. The authors need to consider what their article is trying to tell the reader, and consider rewriting it accordingly. Best wishes.