

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 11263

Title: EVIDENCE-BASED ASSESSMENT OF PROTON-PUMP INHIBITORS AND ALTERNATIVE THERAPIES USED IN HELICOBACTER PYLORI ERADICATION: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Reviewer code: 00227569

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-10-12 14:52

Date reviewed: 2013-11-09 00:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I believe this topic is a great addition to the journal, but needs some robust discussion. Also side effects of each regimen should be discussed to give broader picture.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 11263

Title: EVIDENCE-BASED ASSESSMENT OF PROTON-PUMP INHIBITORS AND ALTERNATIVE THERAPIES USED IN HELICOBACTER PYLORI ERADICATION: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Reviewer code: 00032528

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-10-12 14:52

Date reviewed: 2013-12-25 13:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, the authors reviewed the efficacy of H. pylori eradication therapy based on susceptibility of H. pylori to antimicrobial agents and PPI treatment by using previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses. This review is well written. However, this version has any problems. Please revise carefully according to comments. Major comments: 1. Conclusion/recommendation in each chapter is unclear. Recently, the Maastricht IV consensus report was published, and therefore, authors should compare with this consensus report and author's conclusion in each chapter. 2. In section of 'Role of PPI Doses in H. pylori Eradication Therapy', how about increased dose of PPI, such as qid? 3. Abstract section does not summarize this review. It will be better to re-write. Minor comments: 1. There are no places of Figures in text.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 11263

Title: EVIDENCE-BASED ASSESSMENT OF PROTON-PUMP INHIBITORS AND ALTERNATIVE THERAPIES USED IN HELICOBACTER PYLORI ERADICATION: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Reviewer code: 01436291

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2013-10-12 14:52

Date reviewed: 2014-01-02 07:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive and updated study on the different protocols to fight H. pylori infection. There are many misprints, which I corrected in the manuscript that I am uploading, but some of them may have escaped.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 11263**Title:** EVIDENCE-BASED ASSESSMENT OF PROTON-PUMP INHIBITORS AND ALTERNATIVE THERAPIES USED IN HELICOBACTER PYLORI ERADICATION: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW**Reviewer code:** 02446778**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-12 14:52**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-03 16:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I wish you did not mention the issue of probiotics and Chinese medicine at all or you should elaborate more on it.