

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8892**Title:** Current strategies for managing pancreatic cancer**Reviewer code:** 02446368**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-11 16:20**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-17 00:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting review for recent progress in pancreatic cancer. The title "Current strategies for managing pancreatic cancer" maybe covering a wider range than something expressed in this paper.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8892

Title: Current strategies for managing pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 00054993

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-01-11 16:20

Date reviewed: 2014-01-18 02:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent up-to-date review of the present state of incidence, therapeutic options and poor prognosis of pancreatic cancer, focusing on basic concepts of the molecular mechanisms of pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer and providing insight in current research to define potential molecular targets for improvement of diagnostic, therapeutic and prognostic modalities, The next decade will hopefully show the impact of theranostics and nanomedicine on clinic and prognosis of this difficult to treat cancer.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8892

Title: Current strategies for managing pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 02098975

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-01-11 16:20

Date reviewed: 2014-01-22 15:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper, a review of managing pancreas cancer, is well written and informative. The author highlighted signaling pathways that activate pancreatic cancer and future availability of nanomedicine for diagnosis and therapy of pancreatic cancer, which provides an interesting update on the area of pancreatic cancer research. However, table 1 and table 2 seem to be the same and the author should change one of them.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8892

Title: Current strategies for managing pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 00011431

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-01-11 16:20

Date reviewed: 2014-01-24 22:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The ms is not well organized. The subtitles of some sections do not reflect the real contains of the paragraphs. For example, in the section "Efforts at Early Diagnosis", the authors did not summarize any current progress on molecular and imaging diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. Instead, they focused on the etiology of pancreatic cancer such as the relationship between diabetes and pancreatic cancer.

2. "Signaling pathways activated in Pancreatic Cancer" section does not appear to be closely related to the topic of this review. If the authors really want to include the signaling pathway in the article, they should choose the molecules which have the potential diagnostic or prognostic value or can be used as the targets for molecular therapy.

3. The running title is not appropriate.

4. The authors should insert more relevant citations in the text. For examples, there is no reference cited for the statements that "About 90% of the hormonal secretion from adipose tissue macrophages is comprised of inflammatory cytokines" (line 18, page 4) and "Leptin and adiponectin are primary precursors to insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes" (line 22, page 4).

5. The authors highlight that type 3c diabetes is a paraneoplastic phenomenon caused by pancreatic cancer. Because other exocrine pancreatic diseases, such as chronic pancreatitis, can also cause type 3c diabetes. The authors may need to give suggestion how to distinguish pancreatic cancer from other exocrine pancreatic diseases in patients with type 3c diabetes.

6. The authors may need to discuss more about serological markers for the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer (in the diagnosis section), although there are no ideal tumor markers with adequate sensitivity and specificity currently existing for pancreatic cancer.

7. Table 1 and Table 2 are the same one.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8892

Title: Current strategies for managing pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 00011431

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-01-11 16:20

Date reviewed: 2014-01-24 22:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The ms is not well organized. The subtitles of some sections do not reflect the real contains of the paragraphs. For example, in the section "Efforts at Early Diagnosis", the authors did not summarize any current progress on molecular and imaging diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. Instead, they focused on the etiology of pancreatic cancer such as the relationship between diabetes and pancreatic cancer.

2. "Signaling pathways activated in Pancreatic Cancer" section does not appear to be closely related to the topic of this review. If the authors really want to include the signaling pathway in the article, they should choose the molecules which have the potential diagnostic or prognostic value or can be used as the targets for molecular therapy.

3. The running title is not appropriate.

4. The authors should insert more relevant citations in the text. For examples, there is no reference cited for the statements that "About 90% of the hormonal secretion from adipose tissue macrophages is comprised of inflammatory cytokines" (line 18, page 4) and "Leptin and adiponectin are primary precursors to insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes" (line 22, page 4).

5. The authors highlight that type 3c diabetes is a paraneoplastic phenomenon caused by pancreatic cancer. Because other exocrine pancreatic diseases, such as chronic pancreatitis, can also cause type 3c diabetes. The authors may need to give suggestion how to distinguish pancreatic cancer from other exocrine pancreatic diseases in patients with type 3c diabetes.

6. The authors may need to discuss more about serological markers for the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer (in the diagnosis section), although there are no ideal tumor markers with adequate sensitivity and specificity currently existing for pancreatic cancer.

7. Table 1 and Table 2 are the same one.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8892

Title: Current strategies for managing pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 00033061

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-01-11 16:20

Date reviewed: 2014-01-30 15:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive review and a well written paper. The last part, including novel strategies using nanotechnology and the future perspectives is very intriguing. Figures are clear and well done. The two tables are redundant, the second is enough.