

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8798**Title:** Computer planned, image-guided combined resection and ablation for bilobar colorectal liver metastases**Reviewer code:** 02544167**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-10 09:51**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-10 20:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The paper appears to me very long considering that a single patient is described. Moreover it may be questionable to use CT only to exclude possible extra-hepatic metastatic spread. In this regard a whole-body FDG-PET/CT could be a sensitive imaging modality. Comment should be added on this issue. Anyhow the use of this navigated intervention system maybe of interest for the readers of the journal but I suggest the authors to modify the text in a short case-report. English editing is needed.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8798

**Title:** Computer planned, image-guided combined resection and ablation for bilobar colorectal liver metastases

**Reviewer code:** 02665304

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-10 09:51

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-20 21:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear Author, The manuscript is very interesting but there are some points to review: 1- It is necessary to revise the formatting (there are some empty pages) 2- The method is well described, but there are similar studies about image-guided liver surgery (Augmented environments for the targeting of hepatic lesions during image-guided robotic liver surgery.Buchs NC et al. 2013) 3-The case analysis is poor. It is described only one patient,A major scientific importance was made ??by a major series.