

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9842

Title: The study on acute pancreatitis in the recent stage

Reviewer code: 00069406

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-03-01 19:26

Date reviewed: 2014-03-11 08:53

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is a good review on the progress of studies on the diagnosis and treatment of acute pancreatitis. Due to the recent highlight, especially on the classification of AP, it is worthwhile to summary the progress. Hence the authors searched the literature and collected plenty papers. The current review provides a thoroughly analyzed report on the pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment of AP, with their own opinion. The review is valuable to merit a publication and the language is acceptable. However, the following concerns should be answered. 1. In Pathogenesis part, the role of NF-kB signal pathway is recommended to be mentioned because it is an important factor related to AP. 2. In Diagnoses section, the author said there are four types of AP, but the standard classification of AP are still three subtypes, as published in GUT 2013. The four type AP has not been worldwidely accepted yet. 3. It is better to contain one or two tables in the text, instead of "." before the sentence, as in the "The pancreatic alarm signs" paragraph.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9842

Title: The study on acute pancreatitis in the recent stage

Reviewer code: 00068891

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-03-01 19:26

Date reviewed: 2014-03-11 19:05

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you very much for your review. The topic was too extensive to elucidate it in detail. It would be easier to do it if the topic would be changed as "the advancement on the pathogenesis of acute pancreatitis", or "the advancement on the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis, or "the advancement on the treatment of pancreatitis".

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9842

Title: The study on acute pancreatitis in the recent stage

Reviewer code: 00071356

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2014-03-01 19:26

Date reviewed: 2014-03-16 01:44

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a small review on the recent advances on pathogenesis, classification, diagnosis and treatment of acute pancreatitis. Although the efforts to summarize this so huge issue is valuable I think that the authors should focus on a single topic of acute pancreatitis. The title should be changed because is not appropriate.