



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10379

Title: Ciliated Hepatic Foregut Cyst: A rare benign lesion with extremely high intracystic CA19-9 level

Reviewer code: 00503572

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-03-30 14:02

Date reviewed: 2014-04-09 07:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled "Ciliated Hepatic Foregut Cyst: A rare benign lesion with extremely high intracystic CA19-9 level" is a description of a clinical case of a very rare entity. The subject is interesting and it may be useful for readers of WJG. The grammatical form and English in text are good. I have some recommendations to authors The numeration of figures calling in the text is no correct. Figure 4 and 5 do not correspond to description in the text. Units of micrograms are not correctly abbreviated. It seems to me that there are too many figures. I recommend preserving only 4 or 5 The manuscript may be shortening. I recommend not repeating concepts already discussed in the text.