

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11422

Title: Red cell distribution width and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

Reviewer code: 00000456

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-05-21 12:23

Date reviewed: 2014-05-21 23:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This letter needs extensive editing by an English mothertongue professional. Here follow some example of unclear sentences which need to be rephrased "There is no information regarding and inflammatory markers' levels such as ..."; "other status of the patients with NASH is not stated in the text". "Before making certain interpretations about some data presented in this article, we should accomplish the presence of misleading factors for high RDW levels". Moreover, this Referee strongly suggests to avoid repetitions: for example "we think that some points should be discussed" and "all these points raise several questions warranting discussion"

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11422

Title: Red cell distribution width and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

Reviewer code: 00009417

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-05-21 12:23

Date reviewed: 2014-05-21 23:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The comment illustrates some important points that are not adequately addressed but already discussed in the published study. Comments Stylistic improvement could be helpful, e.g.: "Finally, the authors investigated the relationship between the RDW levels and the presence of NASH and also fibrotic score in patients with NASH[1]"

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11422

Title: Red cell distribution width and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

Reviewer code: 02520511

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-05-21 12:23

Date reviewed: 2014-05-24 08:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I agree with your comments. RDW is not a specific marker and these issues have to be addressed by the authors of the original manuscript. Minor comments: Please revise the last sentence and also the following sentence: "There is no information regarding and inflammatory markers' levels such as C-reactive protein, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin 6, and the inflammatory status of all subjects in the study. "

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11422

Title: Red cell distribution width and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

Reviewer code: 02861029

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-05-21 12:23

Date reviewed: 2014-05-30 17:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors provide a good and complete comment on the article. I suggest them to include to references: - Kim HM et al (Clin Mol Hepatol. 2013 Sep;19(3):258-65) - Yang W et al (Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014 Apr;26(4):494)