

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9615

Title: Surgical Treatment of Familial Adenomatous Polyposis: Dilemmas and Current Recommendations

Reviewer code: 02548692

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-02-20 21:40

Date reviewed: 2014-03-07 15:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Too many spelling mistakes. PCI ? Full form ? The article ends abruptly. should have some concluding points

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9615

Title: Surgical Treatment of Familial Adenomatous Polyposis: Dilemmas and Current Recommendations

Reviewer code: 00723963

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-02-20 21:40

Date reviewed: 2014-03-07 20:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a descriptive review of the literature regarding the issues involved in the surgical management of patients with FAP. It is well written and provides a good overview of the topic.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9615

Title: Surgical Treatment of Familial Adenomatous Polyposis: Dilemmas and Current Recommendations

Reviewer code: 02823168

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-02-20 21:40

Date reviewed: 2014-03-20 21:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors! Thank you for this overview on the surgical treatment in FAP. This is certainly a good compendium of current trends. Nevertheless, there are some points that should be addressed more precisely: - You should specify that it is the surgical treatment of the colonic manifestation your work is about, otherwise you should include data on surgical treatment of all other disease manifestations, e.g. duodenal adenomas, as well. - Concerning the paragraph on mutations: Patients certainly will not "develop" a mutation, but they do have one! - You address the problem of high rates of morbidity after RPC quite precisely. If you are comparing RPC and IRA you should include some data on functional outcome and quality of life. Most FAP patients have not the option for IRA as they suffer from rectal polyps, likewise will many FAP-patients after IRA require secondary RPC. Therefore, functional outcome and QoL are two important parameters besides morbidity and mortality to monitor surgical outcome! - There are several miss-spellings throughout the manuscript which should be corrected.