

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11503

**Title:** Management of Isolated Superior Mesenteric Artery Dissection

**Reviewer code:** 01131586

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2014-05-24 12:31

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-19 12:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript "Management of Isolated Superior Mesenteric Artery Dissection" is very interesting. In this manuscript, the authors evaluated their experience of the clinical management of ISMAD. Eighteen patients with ISMAD were retrospectively analyzed. The manuscript is clear and well written. 1 Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2 Reference list should be updated. 3 The results were well discussed.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11503

**Title:** Management of Isolated Superior Mesenteric Artery Dissection

**Reviewer code:** 02570462

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2014-05-24 12:31

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-28 23:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled "Management of Isolated Superior Mesenteric Artery Dissection" is very well written. The authors found that ISMAD may be managed successfully in a variety of ways based on the clinical symptoms. After some minor language editing, this manuscript can be accepted.