

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 00039316

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-04-04 16:48

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I reviewed with great interest the manuscript entitled "VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE", by a team that has contributed a lot in the literature of capsule endoscopy. The major issue of the review is that it is a historical review of the existing literature, to its greater extend, while according to the title the manuscript should focus on future perspectives. I propose to the authors to shorten the existing evidence sections and enrich the perspective sections with tables that will summarize the future of this technology. Furthermore, inclusion of tables presenting e.g. indications, bowel preparation schemes, meta-analysis results, etc. will make the manuscript more reader friendly and will illustrate the existing evidence more clearly.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 01802828

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-04-15 18:35

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think this review article concerning video capsule endoscopy is well-written and valuable for readers.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 00503621

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-04-16 07:32

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review article on capsule endoscopy. While interesting and quite detailed, it lacks focus at times and needs more GI depth. No conclusion, just left off without final wrap up.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 02486710

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-04-30 19:01

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting and valuable review article on capsule endoscopy. Conclusion should be presented in an algorithm showing the role and value of the video capsule endoscopy. The evolution of the technique was presented with great details. Collaborations with endoscopic surgeons (i.e laparoendoscopic techniques) and importance of teamwork to improve effectiveness of minimally invasive surgery and role of gastroenterologist in this perspective can give message to everyone who interested in this topic. Adding some tables showing indications, bowel preparation, previous literature will improve the paper.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 00031150

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-06-20 20:05

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good paper, but some corrections are still required.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 02530731

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-06-20 22:52

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a concise and clearly written review about capsule endoscopy. It can be published as it is.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 02822560

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-06-22 16:25

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, The review article by Bouchard et al. entitled "Video capsule endoscopy: perspectives of a revolutionary technique" is an interesting review article on not only small bowel capsule but also colon. This article is well written and well summarized. It must give WJG readers suggestive information. Major comment. In page 15, "Colon capsule and inflammatory bowel disease" section, Application of colon capsule endoscopy for ulcerative colitis is unconfirmed. As the authors mentioned, some reports showed good results, the other showed moderate sensitivities. These heterogeneities might be derived from type of used capsule such as first generation (CCE) or CCE-2. The authors should draw a paper using CCE-2 (Endoscopy. 2014 Jun;46(6):485-92.) , and discuss it. Minor comment In page 14, "First generation versus second generation" section, "OC" and "PCCE" should be spell out.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 9788

Title: VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY: PERSPECTIVES OF A REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Reviewer code: 02822816

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-02-28 09:58

Date reviewed: 2014-06-26 01:59

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the Authors, I read with interest your review article and I have some concerns and suggestions listed below: 1. Crohn's disease, third paragraph should be "...in patients with inflammatory bowel disease unclassified" instead of irritable bowel disease. There is no need for abbreviation (IBD) because it is not anymore used throughout the text. 2. Colon capsule first paragraph, first line: "As well as Polyps and Cancer Colorectal cancer.." should be rewritten. 3. The System, first paragraph, third line: "It has has..." -one has should be deleted. Please, pay attention to abbreviations: second generation colon capsule has been abbreviated at least twice! 4. Indications of colon capsule, last paragraph is a repetition of the first sentence, second paragraph from the system. And again, abbreviation for second generation of colon capsule! In addition, "A Prospective multicenter..." prospective should not be with capital letter! The same observation for Colonoscopy from Incomplete colonoscopy and Unwilling ...colonoscopy. 5. Outpatient setting: please, rewrite the first two sentences. Again and again there is abbreviation for colon capsule endoscopy! 6. Conclusion This section should be rewritten. I don't understand why you abbreviated SBCE while throughout the text it has been SBVCE, second-generation of the colon capsule (it has been already abbreviated several times), spelling celiac disease etc. The second paragraph, regarding future developments of endoscopic capsules (all, not only SB) should be the last paragraph. 7. Suggestions: The title of your article is "videocapsule endoscopy...". I suggest to add some information about esophageal capsule.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

In addition, perhaps the readers of the WJG would be interested to find out more information about the future expectation of VCE and therefore, please, provide details. Please, read carefully the entire text and make corrections before the manuscript could be accepted.