

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10930

**Title:** Enterolithiasis

**Reviewer code:** 00071665

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-27 15:12

**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-05 17:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think that this article can be contributed to literature

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10930

**Title:** Enterolithiasis

**Reviewer code:** 02822837

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-27 15:12

**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-17 19:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Many subject repeated in the article, for example regarding causes, composition and presentation, you can see detail of each if them in anatomy and physiology, clinical diagnosis, eatiology and complication and prognosis. Outline of the articles needs revision, some sentences could be written as a new paragraph rather than continuation of the previous paragraph such as in clinical diagnosis regarding types of investigations. Apart from previous comments to the Editor, I have another comment regarding treatment of enterolithiasis in case of Crohn's disease when there is stricture. In many cases medical treatment could lead to resolving of the stricture and at that time stone could pass, such as with biological treatment.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 10930

**Title:** Enterolithiasis

**Reviewer code:** 00069988

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-04-27 15:12

**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-19 03:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

excellent idea for the review article. Did not find similar review article on the subject. I have no comments on the text. The only thing that is necessary for such review are figures - figures of the enteroliths in different positions mentioned in the text (appendix, small bowel, large bowel); figures of the stones itself with different shapes and compositions; radiographic findings during different imaging methods. These figures could be obtained because there are many of them found in cases published.