

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13493

Title: A primary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma in the common bile duct: case report

Reviewer code: 02521482

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-08-26 11:55

Date reviewed: 2014-08-26 17:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Sasatomi and coll in 2013 report an exhaustive case report dealing with neuroendocrine carcinoma of the extrahepatic bile duct as well as a specific literature review. Under this aspect one can argue your paper is pleonastic. However your case represent the first asian and you can emphasize this point in order to underline the extreme rarity of this disease. Moreover, in this willing, the title should be clearly toward this concept in order to have a sense of originality; so for instance you can use: "A primary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma in the common bile duct: first asian case report" I have some minor comments: Abstract : Neuroendocrine Tumor (NET)..... Jaundice is preferably to yellowish discoloration of the face....the role of additional therapies..... Introduction: why part is in Italic type? Case Report: Jaundice instead yellowish..... blood count and serum biochemical are better visualized is reported in a table.... Enlarged lymph nodes you removed were positive or negative? Please report Discussion: Tomor markers such as alphaFP.....

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13493

Title: A primary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma in the common bile duct: case report

Reviewer code: 02954650

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-08-26 11:55

Date reviewed: 2014-08-27 17:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is extremely rare case, and this case report may be interest to the readers of this journal. However, there are some questions. I showed some points. 1. Please check the spelling. There are many mistakes. You mistook LCNEC for LSNEC and LCNES in introduction, and SCNEC for SNEC in discussion. 2. Why did you do biopsy from common bile duct? If you could diagnose LCNEC of common bile duct before operation, did you have a choice of neoadjuvant chemotherapy?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13493

Title: A primary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma in the common bile duct: case report

Reviewer code: 02459052

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-08-26 11:55

Date reviewed: 2014-09-15 19:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A similar case report was published by WJG in 2013 (Sasatomi E, Nalesnik MA, Marsh JW. Neuroendocrine carcinoma of the extrahepatic bile duct: Case report and literature review. World J Gastroenterol 2013;19(28): 4616-4623.). The quality of figure 3 is poor.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13493

Title: A primary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma in the common bile duct: case report

Reviewer code: 02735072

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-08-26 11:55

Date reviewed: 2014-09-18 08:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, My review has as follows: Since Sasatomi published a similar article in WJG last year, try to make the title of your publication more specific (e.g. the first case in Asian population) and thus more appealing. The text has many misspellings (e.g. LCNEC, LSNEC) and generally the use/style of English are really bad; it seems like different people have contributed in writing. Review thoroughly all the text and terminology with a native English speaker if possible. Present the patient's (preoperative) investigations and interventions in a better way. For example the "yellowish discoloration" can be written more appropriately as "jaundice". You do not need to give all the blood results but only the abnormal ones (for the normal ones you can say e.g. "CBC was normal"). Also, you do not pose the facts in a uniform way (e.g. for some parameters you give the normal values whereas for others not).

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13493

Title: A primary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma in the common bile duct: case report

Reviewer code: 01779398

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-08-26 11:55

Date reviewed: 2014-09-09 12:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Truly, it is a rare case. Because of its extremely low incidence, we don't have enough experience on its diagnosis and treatment.