

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4955

**Title:** Evaluation of using specific fecal protein biochips for the diagnosis of colorectal cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00724342

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-05 17:25

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-08 21:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is unique and good. It will be of interest to the readership.. But, sample size is too small to draw firm scientific conclusions. I am looking forward a larger study to research the protein biochip as a noninvasive screening method.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 4955

**Title:** Evaluation of using specific fecal protein biochips for the diagnosis of colorectal cancer

**Reviewer code:** 02440197

**Science editor:** Zhai, Huan-Huan

**Date sent for review:** 2013-08-05 17:25

**Date reviewed:** 2013-08-11 18:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This research presents a promising noninvasive screening method for the diagnosis of colorectal cancer. However, there are several obvious grammatical errors. The author should revise the manuscript thoroughly. Moreover, the abbreviations used in the abstract, such as TPO, FGF-23, lack clear definition. The title of Tab 1 should be "Information of Colorectal cancer patients and Healthy controls". Moreover, tables and figures presented in this manuscript seem to lack self-evidence, especially Tab 2~4.