



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3869

Title: Recombinant Streptokinase versus Phenylephrine-based Suppositories in Acute Hemorrhoids. Randomized, Controlled Trial (THERESA-3)

Reviewer code: 00042289

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-05-29 15:45

Date reviewed: 2013-05-30 00:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a well-conducted trial with impressive results. I would appreciate if the cost of the therapy with streptokinase would be addressed. Minor comment. I think that Table 2 should be converted to a Kaplan-Meier curve.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3869

Title: Recombinant Streptokinase versus Phenylephrine-based Suppositories in Acute Hemorrhoids. Randomized, Controlled Trial (THERESA-3)

Reviewer code: 02489549

Science editor: Song, Xiu-Xia

Date sent for review: 2013-05-29 15:45

Date reviewed: 2013-06-11 23:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to thank the authors for their effort in conducting this nationwide clinical trial. This is a well designed, well conducted and well written study. The multicenter nature of the study and inclusion of the study along with inclusion of sufficient number of patients from different ethnic groups and with different grades of hemorrhoids indicates good generalisability of the study. The results demonstrate early initiation of action, short response time and high healing rate in patients treated with recombinant streptokinase, which is of great clinical benefit. However, in my opinion a number of modifications should be made to the manuscript prior to publication in the journal and the manuscript could be accepted for publication if minor revision is made. First, although the manuscript is written very well, there are a few grammatical and vocabulary errors that should be corrected. I recommend the authors to review the manuscript one more time, and check the grammar carefully. Second, the P values for between group comparisons of the demographic data should be included in table 1 in order to demonstrate the significance or insignificance of the differences. By the same manner, the p values for the between group comparison of the adverse drug reactions should be provided in table 4 to allow better assessment of the results.