

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6311

Title: LAPAROSCOPIC APPROACH TO GASTROINTESTINAL MALIGNANCIES: TOWARD THE FUTURE WITH CAUTION

Reviewer code: 00058345

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 17:46

Date reviewed: 2013-10-18 20:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

well written review article

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6311

Title: LAPAROSCOPIC APPROACH TO GASTROINTESTINAL MALIGNANCIES: TOWARD THE FUTURE WITH CAUTION

Reviewer code: 00058455

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-14 17:46

Date reviewed: 2013-10-21 20:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. On page 17, the authors provided that " To the present, laparoscopic treatment of colorectal cancer has been accepted as the gold standard of care,...." However, the reference 112 and 113 did not discuss the "gold standard of care". 2. On page 18, linen 8, the authors mentoined " Therefore, total morbidity and local morbidity were decreased in the laparoscopic groups. General morbidity and mortality were not different between both groups." What is different between total morbidity and general morbidity?