

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5984

Title: MRI in Rectal Cancer: A Surgeon' s perspective

Reviewer code: 00741626

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-09-30 16:09

Date reviewed: 2013-10-16 12:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer Blind Comments to Author It was an interesting review and I am sure it would be for the reader, also, it is commendable the author's effort in organizing the information and selection of images in this manuscript; however, after reading the paper, it is clear that several important subheadings for a wider audience of this journal (not only surgeons) are missing but amendable of course: ? A brief description of the preparation of the patients as well as the basic MRI sequences would enrich the paper. ? Some tables need better formatting as table 4. ? Some abbreviations are missing (CRM, for example). ? Mention the existence or lack of consensus regarding the times for 2nd MRI examination. ? The article in some point lose his balance between the images and the surgical information, because it is submitted to a gastroenterology journal (not surgery). I wold prefer a more balanced information between every stage of the cancer with the corresponding surgical procedure and some supporting images or diagrams to help the readers understand most of the details of the surgical procedures/anatomic references. Language: Grade A: priority publishing; Grade B: minor language polishing; === XXX === Grade C: a great deal of language polishing; Grade D: rejected. Previous Research: more work on the synthesis of the selected references, specially in the recommendation of using or not conventional gadolinium, and the consensus about the SPIO contrast, I mean, useful hints to help clinicians in the study of their patients. Plagiarism: no concerns.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5984

Title: MRI in Rectal Cancer: A Surgeon' s perspective

Reviewer code: 00646357

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-09-30 16:09

Date reviewed: 2013-10-25 20:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Abstract: add role of MR in LN, CRM and peritoneal deposits as well as low lying cancer Material and methods: Add how many papers found in this topics area and the year's period of review and how many references you used in this study. Technique: Add basic sequences and planes used for diagnosis of cancer rectum Add table shows the sequences used for cancer rectum. Images: need to add stages of the lesions MRI Citation of your figures within each stage to be easier for reader to show the word and images. References: updated your references. There are list of review articles are seen at 2012 and 2013 discusses the same issue and not included in your reference list

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5984

Title: MRI in Rectal Cancer: A Surgeon' s perspective

Reviewer code: 00070140

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-09-30 16:09

Date reviewed: 2013-10-30 16:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting updated review on MRI in rectal cancer from a surgical point of view.