

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6836

Title: Optimum Chemotherapy in the management of metastatic pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 00505340

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-29 18:04

Date reviewed: 2013-10-30 01:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This short review provides an update on a difficult clinical disease. Even though most information is readily available, the summary of newer agents is useful. Major revisions: 1. Typos should be carefully checked and corrected, for example, spelling of thrombocytopenia and oxaliplatin, etc 2. Table titles should be placed on top of the table 3. a new table should be added for the newer agents, such as ganitumab, etc. 4. References are very short for a review. Please add the following references: Satyanarayana Rachagani, María P Torres, Sushil Kumar, Dhanya Haridas, Michael Baine, Muzafar A Macha, Sukhwinder Kaur, Moorthy P Ponnusamy, Parama Dey, Parthasarathy Seshacharyulu, Sonny L Johansson, Maneesh Jain, Kay-Uwe Wagner, Surinder K Batra. Mucin (Muc) expression during pancreatic cancer progression in spontaneous mouse model: potential implications for diagnosis and therapy. Journal of Hematology & Oncology 2012, 5:68 (26 October 2012); David Z Chang, Ying Ma, Baoan Ji, Yan Liu, Patrick Hwu, James L Abbruzzese, Craig Logsdon, Huamin Wang. Increased CDC20 expression is associated with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma differentiation and progression. Journal of Hematology & Oncology 2012, 5:15 (4 April 2012); Jiarui Wang, Xuemei Zhang, Danjun Ma, Wai-Nang Lee, Jing Xiao, Yingchun Zhao, Vay Go, Qi Wang, Yun Yen, Robert Recker, Gary Xiao. Inhibition of transketolase by oxythiamine altered dynamics of protein signals in pancreatic cancer cells. Experimental Hematology & Oncology 2013, 2:18 (27 July 2013)

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6836

Title: Optimum Chemotherapy in the management of metastatic pancreatic cancer

Reviewer code: 00006675

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-29 18:04

Date reviewed: 2013-11-25 23:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting review of the use of chemotherapeutic regimens in the treatment of metastatic pancreatic cancer. Comments 1. The addition of a schematic representation of the pharmacological options would improve the manuscript. 2. The last sentence of the conclusion is overoptimistic. This should be rephrased.