



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6690

**Title:** Screening and Early Detection of Pancreatic cancer in High Risk Population

**Reviewer code:** 00069105

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-28 10:24

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-01 21:18

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                            | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Sirs: the paper is well written. Concise and easy to write. But I have found two problems. Now, it is more common to make a systematic review of the literature more than a classical review and your paper is a classical review. The powerful of classical review is less than systematic review. Because readers and reviewers do not know how you made the search which papers have selected and the reasons why you choose these ones and no others. Secondly, I think that there is a huge problem: discordance between title and text. In my opinion, If I decide to read a paper about screening I want to know which patient I have to screen and you did this job perfectly but I want to know the timing and the images techniques I have to perform and you dedicated no more than 300 words. No information about if tumoral markers are useful or not. No information about gene markers. So, After ending the lecture of your paper I know which patients I have to screen but scarce information about how to do it. So, two options, easy one change title: new titlw would say only which disease are associated, the best option trying to do a screening schedule (this option would be great).



# Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6690

**Title:** Screening and Early Detection of Pancreatic cancer in High Risk Population

**Reviewer code:** 00068044

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-28 10:24

**Date reviewed:** 2013-11-07 22:13

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing                 | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                            | BPG Search:                         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.The title of this manuscript is ‘Screening and Early Detection of Pancreatic cancer in High Risk Population’ and the authors indeed described the ‘high risk population ’ and the screening of pancreatic cancer. But there is so few information about early detection of pancreatic cancer like serum index, tumor marker or other index. I think it’s not strict to detect pancreatic cancer only by screening. 2. The description of screening programs like MRCP, CT, EUS is too simple. There is only one paragraph about screening programs. Compared with the description of the high risk population, we may have this feel that the key point of this manuscript is who is the high risk population of pancreatic cancer. But the title indicated the key point is screening and early detection of pancreatic cancer. So, I think the content in the text is incongruent with the title.



## Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,  
315-321 Lockhart Road,  
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

### ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 6690

**Title:** Screening and Early Detection of Pancreatic cancer in High Risk Population

**Reviewer code:** 02570566

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-10-28 10:24

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-02 12:51

| CLASSIFICATION                                     | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | RECOMMENDATION                      | CONCLUSION  |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing     | Google Search:                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected                           | BPG Search:                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)            |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                           |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No records |   |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Pancreatic cancer is the most lethal diseases despite marked improvement in medical care over the past years. However, there is an urgent need to detect the early stage of pancreatic cancer. This study focused on to investigate the screening and detection of pancreatic cancer in high risk population. They researched with several published articles to detect the optimal program to improve the clinical outcome of pancreatic cancer patients. Although the information of estimated risk for pancreatic cancer as well as pancreatic cancer screening and diagnostic program is not sufficiently provided, but, this manuscript is well written and is properly described.