

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12903

**Title:** Fecal microbiota transplantation: it's not just for intestinal disorders anymore

**Reviewer code:** 00506481

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-29 13:32

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-07 10:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is well conceived and presented with adequate information highlighting the benefit of FMT.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12903

**Title:** Fecal microbiota transplantation: it's not just for intestinal disorders anymore

**Reviewer code:** 00506590

**Science editor:** Su-Xin Gou

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-29 13:32

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-07 21:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting review about the effectiveness and possible broad applications of fecal transplants to different type of diseases. I have a couple of minor concerns one is that I would like to see documented if available adverse effects reported for FMT so that can help also in developing this therapy further. The second concern is about the use of words that are non-scientific. If possible change them or just not include them in the review. Some specific examples are the following: heroic page #3; raveled and presented in page #4; delightful in page #10; provocatively, certified and sensational in page 11. I advice the authors to change the title to: "Fecal microbiota transplantation broadening its application beyond intestinal disorders". Also there are some statements that need to be re-written in order to be more clear. Specifically: In the abstract the paragraph about clinical observations; the protective effect of Bacteroides in page #4 is not clear enough; page #8 marked increasing number of researchers; in page 9 the word mainly does not make sense in the first paragraph of autoimmune diseases; page #11 what is a complicated flora, explain. I was wondering as well if another alternative to promote success of FMT could be that they carry/produce specific adhesin molecules that facilitate the colonization of the new bacteria infused.