

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11432

Title: Fecal transplant Policy and Legislation

Reviewer code: 00183445

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-05-22 09:06

Date reviewed: 2014-05-26 22:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very well written article referring to a new possibility of treatment of pseudomembranous colitis due to infections caused by *Clostridium difficile*. The authors discuss all the current problems associated with transplantation of fecal microflora. Specific comments: In my opinion it is better to use the name *C. difficile* instead of a *C. diff*. "As mentioned previously, highly variable and widely available, human feces is quite unique drugs, which are formulated through reproducible methods under controlled conditions. Fecal samples are composed of five microbiota that is metabolically active and dynamic 28)" In my opinion, this paragraph should be slightly extended. The authors should cite the species composition of fecal samples, which are prepared under controlled conditions.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 11432

Title: Fecal transplant Policy and Legislation

Reviewer code: 00227589

Science editor: Su-Xin Gou

Date sent for review: 2014-05-22 09:06

Date reviewed: 2014-06-02 22:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript submitted by Vyas et al. discusses the emergence, application, and current regulation of fecal matter transplants in humans. The manuscript is well written and only minor diction and grammar corrections are necessary. The authors should consider a few subtitles - at the moment the whole manuscript is not subdivided at all which makes it hard to read. Smaller sections that focus on the current use of FMT and its successes (the clinical trial), the current classification and potential for reclassification as a tissue should be considered. I think this manuscript will contribute to the ongoing discussion of the medical use of FMT and its future prospects in the light of regulations.