

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12655

Title: Familial adenomatous polyposi associated with adenomas in the common bile duct: A case report and review of the literature

Reviewer code: 01560462

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-07-20 19:00

Date reviewed: 2014-07-30 15:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The contents are interesting. But there is the part that does not make the appearance of the article. There is the need to correct. Figure legends 1. MRCP→magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography CBD→ common bile duct 5,6 CBD→ common bile duct Table 1 Legends CBD→ common bile duct etc.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12655

Title: Familial adenomatous polyposi associated with adenomas in the common bile duct: A case report and review of the literature

Reviewer code: 02954849

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-07-20 19:00

Date reviewed: 2014-08-07 22:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your report of a rare case of FAP associated with biliary obstruction. There is one minor point I would like to know. I wonder if the patient could have undertaken upper gastrointestinal endoscopy before laparoscopic cholecystectomy at another hospital. If possible, could you mind teaching us the description of ampulla of Vater at that time ? That information would make it clear how rapidly the tumor grew up. Of course it would not be easy to get the information from another hospital. You should not hesitate to tell us so. There is another minor point. I'm afraid there is needless 'the' in the sentence about local excision of benign lesion of the CBD, in the part of Discussion. That's all from me. It was my great pleasure to review your fine report. Thank you very much. I hope this report will be accepted as soon as possible. Sincerely yours, Harutoshi sugiyama