

BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13144

Title: Ratio of metastatic lymph nodes is more important for rectal cancer patients

treated with preoperative chemoradiotherapy

Reviewer code: 00070191 **Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-08-08 11:17

Date reviewed: 2014-08-19 20:28

LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] Existing	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] No records	publication
language polishing	BPG Search:	[] Rejection
[] Grade D: Rejected	[] Existing	[] Minor revision
	[] No records	[] Major revision
	[] Grade A: Priority publishing[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[] Grade A: Priority publishing Google Search: [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Existing [] Grade C: A great deal of [] No records language polishing BPG Search: [] Grade D: Rejected [] Existing

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study the prognostic impact of the LNR in rectal cancer patients with metastatic lymph nodes after radical resection was evaluated. It is found that the lymph node ratio (LNR) is an important prognostic predictor of RFS in rectal cancer patients especially treated with PCRT. 1. The errors in alignment of tables should be corrected. 2. Language needs minor revision



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13144

Title: Ratio of metastatic lymph nodes is more important for rectal cancer patients

treated with preoperative chemoradiotherapy

Reviewer code: 00182276 Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-08-08 11:17

Date reviewed: 2014-08-09 19:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] Existing	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] No records	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	BPG Search:	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	[] Grade D: Rejected	[] Existing	[] Minor revision
		[] No records	[] Major revision
İ			<u>'</u>

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is very important because it underlines the impact of neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy of rectal cancer and moreover can predict recurrence free survival . It would be useful to check these patients data according to tumor regression grade as well.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13144

Title: Ratio of metastatic lymph nodes is more important for rectal cancer patients

treated with preoperative chemoradiotherapy

Reviewer code: 00503612 **Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-08-08 11:17

Date reviewed: 2014-08-10 06:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] Existing	[] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] No records	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	BPG Search:	[Y] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	[] Grade D: Rejected	[] Existing	[] Minor revision
		[] No records	[] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I appreciate the opportunity to review your manuscript looking at LNR following neoadjuvant chemoXRT for rectal cancer. A few comments/questions 1. You should consider adding some more specific raw data of outcomes to the abstract to give the reader an idea of the major points that you found. 2. The bottom line is this is a fine paper, but I disagree with the major premise that it "is unclear whether the impact of the LNR on prognosis differs between rectal cancer patients treated with PCRT and those treated with upfront surgical resection". This study has been several times before looking at LNR in the preoperative group with TME for rectal cancer. Please clarify what you mean by unclear, or novel in this series other than it is your series.