

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14427

Title: Liver transplantation for recurrent posthepatectomy malignant hepatic angiomyolipoma: A case report

Reviewer code: 02529197

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-03 22:08

Date reviewed: 2014-10-14 20:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors of the case report entitled "Liver transplantation for recurrent posthepatectomy malignant hepatic angiomyolipoma" present a problem of the use of liver transplantation as a method of treatment of liver malignant tumors. In my opinion this case report is well written.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14427

Title: Liver transplantation for recurrent posthepatectomy malignant hepatic angiomylipoma: A case report

Reviewer code: 00053441

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-03 22:08

Date reviewed: 2014-10-16 21:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the paper by Wang et al, a case of malignant angiolipoma is reported. This is an unusual neoplasia in the liver. To the best of my knowledge, this interesting case is the 6th report of malignant angiomylipoma to be reported. The case is well described, but some language polishing is needed. Additionally, some improvements in the immunostaining could be made. Specific comments: Abstract: English should be reviewed. References in the abstract should be avoided. Introduction: Again, English should be reviewed: "This tumor has been described a benign lesion" (a instead of an). "if the diagnosis of hepatic AML has been made, conservative treatment would be recommended" (would instead of may). "right hepatic AMLs who required" (delete had). Please be consistent regarding the use of AML. Sometimes it is used, sometime it is written "angiomylipoma" (for instance in page 3, line 9). The HAML is not used throughout the text. Please delete it. Case report: Again, language should be reviewed: "We report (instead of reported) a 37-year-old...ago. (a point was missing) Abdominal enhanced computed..." Delete recently (line 29, page 2). Page 3 line 4: "...complicated anatomy. Transcatheter..." Regarding the immunohistochemical staining: was mature adipose tissue present? Was S-100 staining used? Was there CD-117 staining performed? Such an immunohistochemistry would be more informative than CD68. It should be mentioned that the tumor was Hep par negative. A quantification (even a crude one) should be included for Ki-67. Benign angiolipomas have no or little mitotic activity. From the picture, it is easy to see that such

activity exists, but some quantification (per field or the percentage of positive cells). Figure legends: CD68 refers to image E and not D. Please correct. Page 5: this is the 6th case of hepatic malignant angiolipoma. Please correct "less than 5" in line 2 and "first report for malignant hepatic angiolipoma" in line 24. Page 6, line 9: what do the authors mean by "melanocytic antigens HMB45 and Ki67" Specific comments: Abstract: English should be reviewed. References in the abstract should be avoided. Introduction: Again, English should be reviewed: "This tumor has been described a benign lesion" (a instead of an). "if the diagnosis of hepatic AML has been made, conservative treatment would be recommended" (would instead of may). "right hepatic AMLs who required" (delete had). Please be consistent regarding the use of AML. Sometimes it is used, sometime it is written "angiomolipoma" (for instance in page 3, line 9). The HAML is not used throughout the text. Please delete it. Case report: Again, language should be reviewed: "We report (instead of reported) a 37-year-old...ago. (a point was missing) Abdominal enhanced computed..." Delete recently (line 29, page 2). Page 3 line 4: "...complicated anatomy. Transcatheter..." Regarding the immunohistochemical staining: was mature adipose tissue present? Was S-100 staining used? Was there CD-117 staining performed? Such an immunohistochemistry would be more informative than CD68. It should be mentioned that the tumor was Hep par negative. A quantification (even a crude one) should be included for Ki-67. Benign angiolipomas have no or little mitotic activity. From the picture, it is easy to see that such activity exists, but some quantification (per field or the percentage of positive cells). Figure legends: CD68 refers to image E and not D. Please correct. Page 5: this is the 6th case of hepatic malignant angiolipoma. Please correct "less than 5" in line 2 and "first report for malignant hepatic angiolipoma" in line 24. Page 6, line 9: what do the authors mean by "melanocytic antigens HMB45 and Ki67"

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14427

Title: Liver transplantation for recurrent posthepatectomy malignant hepatic angiomyolipoma: A case report

Reviewer code: 02520359

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-03 22:08

Date reviewed: 2014-10-14 01:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript has to be extensively corrected by a natively English speaking person