

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12604

Title: Endoscopic transpancreatic septotomy as a precutting elita technique for difficult bile duct cannulation

Reviewer code: 02528832

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 14:08

Date reviewed: 2014-09-25 04:39

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> [] No records | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> [] No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript the authors report their experience in the treatment of 163 patients with difficult bile duct cannulation who underwent in a second attempt either transpancreatic septotomy or needle knife sphincterotomy. Fortunately, they have two comparable groups of patients subjected to each of both procedures, the results are interesting and conclusions are correctly derived from these results. There are only minor changes to be made in some sentences (page 6, 4th line after the table (more than). Also, perhaps the expression of the cause of death of the patient subjected to septotomy (hepatic encephalopathy DUE to tumor cachexia) should be reworded (perhaps liver failure by tumor invasion?)

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12604

Title: Endoscopic transpancreatic septotomy as a precutting elita technique for difficult bile duct cannulation

Reviewer code: 02465209

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 14:08

Date reviewed: 2014-08-15 07:05

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting.