

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12653

Title: Is Cholecystectomy a Reasonable treatment for All Gallbladder Polyps Greater Than 10mm?

Reviewer code: 02441422

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 20:38

Date reviewed: 2014-08-13 10:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. GBPs with gallstones which are common in HBP practice may have higher risk for gallbladder cancer. In the study, this type of patient were excluded and only simple GBPs patients were involved. So the title and the conclusion of the manuscript is not appropriate. I recommend that the title could be written as "Cholecystectomy a Reasonable treatment for simple Gallbladder Polyps Greater Than 10mm?" and the short title could be replaced as "Validation of surgical indication for simple gallbladder polyp". 2. This study is a retrospective study from single center. Thus the defect should be pointed out in discussion. 3. The conclusion should be further concise and cautious. 4. The manuscript needs careful editing by someone with expertise in technical English editing paying particular attention to English grammar, spelling, and sentence structure so that the goals and results of the study are clear to the reader.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12653

Title: Is Cholecystectomy a Reasonable treatment for All Gallbladder Polyps Greater Than 10mm?

Reviewer code: 02839995

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 20:38

Date reviewed: 2014-08-20 15:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors made a retrospective revision of their database regarding gallbladder polyps, in order to find a potential best predictor of malignancies rather than 10 mm size. They concluded that 13 mm size was a better cut-off value to indicate immediately surgery, whereas 11 and 12 mm size polyps, in younger patients could be strictly followed up before undergoing surgery. These findings are interesting, but i believe that could be dangerous in routinary clinical use. In fact, we have to remind that this cut-off is strongly operator-dependent (ultrasound imaging and measurement are strongly dependent from operator, so that, only 1 or 2 mm can make a strong difference, especially when you are discussing about a potential gallbladder cancer). This is a very strong limit of this paper, that not provide other meaningful parameters to indicate or delay surgery. Also, data are not exaustevely showed (e.g. data regarding incidence of malignancies in all class of size are lacking; a detailed table could be very useful). Abstract is not focused on real findings, it could be rewritten, especially in results section. Typing errors in tables.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12653

Title: Is Cholecystectomy a Reasonable treatment for All Gallbladder Polyps Greater Than 10mm?

Reviewer code: 02572357

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 20:38

Date reviewed: 2014-08-23 22:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The total number of cholecystectomies (for all reasons) performed during the study's period should be mentioned. # It should be add to the text a table or grafh showing the polyps' distribution according to size, i.e., how many polyps had 8mm, how many had 9mm, etc. # The English must be improved