

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14734

**Title:** Mesorectum localization as a special kind of rectal metastasis from breast cancer

**Reviewer code:** 02445925

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-27 17:15

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-03 07:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors provide an interesting case report regarding mesorectal metastasis of breast cancer. It is of importance especially for residents to be remembered that metastases of a specific tumor can also be present at unusual location.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14734

**Title:** Mesorectum localization as a special kind of rectal metastasis from breast cancer

**Reviewer code:** 00739893

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-27 17:15

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-20 20:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very first statement "Breast cancer always has a poor prognosis" is not correct "Thirty to eighty percent of patients are diagnosed with a metastasis following primary therapy" ? wich stages of the disease? in which clinical set? "2006: chest and abdominal CT scan, " why? "2006: tumor markers CA 125 and CA 153 were normal" Hint: literature that supports the paper is quite old, breast cancer research is updated various times per year