

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14909

Title: Radiofrequency ablation for single hepatocellular carcinoma 3 cm or less as first-line treatment

Reviewer's code: 00181285

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-30 16:21

Date reviewed: 2014-11-18 18:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors Congratulations on this paper. It is a retrospective study that assess the issue of treating HCC<3cm with RFA versus surgery retrospectively. The article addresses properly the data and compare the outcome in both groups. As we know, for lesions less than 3cm RFA is as good as the gold standard treatment: surgical resection. In my opinion it can be published with minor corrections. 1- when comparing the OS, multivariate analysis did not include the type of treatment (table 2) 2- the anatomical location of the tumor should play a major role in choosing surgical resection or RFA. This is mentioned but not showed in the data (e.g. tumor with 3cm located close to IVC or HV that will demand a major resection maybe should be treated with RFA?)

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14909

Title: Radiofrequency ablation for single hepatocellular carcinoma 3 cm or less as first-line treatment

Reviewer's code: 02860622

Reviewer's country: Algeria

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-30 16:21

Date reviewed: 2014-11-08 19:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

it's an excellent retrospective study wich need confirmation in multicentric comparative sutudies.
Good work.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 14909

Title: Radiofrequency ablation for single hepatocellular carcinoma 3 cm or less as first-line treatment

Reviewer's code: 00928100

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-10-30 16:21

Date reviewed: 2014-11-13 13:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors used data of 4 institutions to evaluate long-term outcomes of radiofrequency (RF) ablation as first-line therapy for single hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) ≥ 3 cm and determine survival prognostic factors. Generally the manuscript was informative and well-written. It would be better to describe in detail the difference between selection criteria of percutaneous and laparoscopic approach according to tumor location by Cuinaud segmentation of liver.