

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 10878

Title: High-resolution microendoscope for esophageal cancer screening in China: A cost-effectiveness analysis

Reviewer code: 02558757

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-04-24 01:42

Date reviewed: 2014-06-05 06:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

much-needed cost/benefit analysis of cancer screening tool! only minor typos: page 3, Results--change "live" to "life" Figure 1 title--"unstained" is misspelled

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 10878

Title: High-resolution microendoscope for esophageal cancer screening in China: A cost-effectiveness analysis

Reviewer code: 02976783

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-04-24 01:42

Date reviewed: 2014-07-31 21:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an elegant, well designed and written cost-effectiveness analysis. The authors developed a high-resolution microendoscope (HRME). In this manuscript, they used a simulation model to determine the longer-term effects of using HRME in an average- and high-risk setting for esophageal squamous cell screening and surveillance in China. As a conclusion, they found the incorporation of HRME into an ESCC screening program could be cost-effective. A minor flaw needs to be addressed: all the tables in the manuscript should be revised to "three-line tables".