

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13147

Title: Similar efficacy and safety of tenofovir in Asians and non-Asians with CHB

Reviewer code: 00227366

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-08-08 11:19

Date reviewed: 2014-08-12 19:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the manuscript entitled "Similar efficacy and safety of tenofovir in Asians and non-Asians with CHB", the authors compared the results of TFN treatments in Asian and non-Asian patients and concluded that there is no difference in terms of viral suppression, normalization of ALT, improvements in liver fibrosis, and resistance in Asian and non-Asian patients regardless of baseline HBeAg status. Although there are several studies reporting the TFN results in large cohorts, comparison of Asian and non Asians as subgroups can contribute to clarify a minor gap in the previous studies.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13147

Title: Similar efficacy and safety of tenofovir in Asians and non-Asians with CHB

Reviewer code: 00503401

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-08-08 11:19

Date reviewed: 2014-08-18 02:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Calvin Q. Pan and colleagues in their paper compare the efficacy and safety of tenofovir in Asian and non-Asian patients with chronic hepatitis B. The manuscript is a well-written paper with clear messages. These data can help physicians to optimize management of Asian patients, HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative. The manuscript needs only minor editing in several points, which can be found in text attached.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13147

Title: Similar efficacy and safety of tenofovir in Asians and non-Asians with CHB

Reviewer code: 00020433

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-08-08 11:19

Date reviewed: 2014-08-21 20:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study and fills the gap in our knowledge regarding the response pattern of Asian vs. non-Asian patients with HBV infection.