

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16482

**Title:** Innovations and Techniques for Balloon-Enteroscope-assisted-ERCP in Patients with Altered Gastrointestinal Anatomy

**Reviewer's code:** 00227589

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-20 22:54

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-15 04:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript submitted by Yamauhci et al. reviews the current use of various techniques for enterobiliary endoscopic techniques highlighting specifically the use of balloon-assisted endoscopy in patients with resection or surgery of the intestinal tract. The manuscript is well written and summarizes the various techniques as well as emphasizes the current and future use of BAE in post-surgery patients. The illustrated figures help visualize the various techniques. Tables 2 and 3 may require further explanation in the legend since the branching into "successful" and "unsuccessful" would require a standard technique under successful and the alternative approaches under unsuccessful. Aside from these minor issues the manuscript should be carefully edited in terms of diction and grammar - a few sentences are not as well written. I other wise recommend publication of the review after minor revisions as suggested above.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16482

**Title:** Innovations and Techniques for Balloon-Enteroscope-assisted-ERCP in Patients with Altered Gastrointestinal Anatomy

**Reviewer's code:** 00035694

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-20 22:54

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-11 15:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper of Yamauchi et al is a suitable little review about the Innovations and Techniques for Balloon-Enteroscope. I propose that the manuscript could be published as a mini review

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16482

**Title:** Innovations and Techniques for Balloon-Enteroscope-assisted-ERCP in Patients with Altered Gastrointestinal Anatomy

**Reviewer's code:** 02441672

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-20 22:54

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-03 22:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It's a good little review about the Innovations and Techniques for Balloon-Enteroscope. I suggest that the manuscript could be published as a mini review