

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16497

Title: Somatostatin analogs in gastric carcinoids: for many, but not for all

Reviewer's code: 02535364

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-01-21 08:28

Date reviewed: 2015-01-21 21:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting story for Gastric carcinoids (GCs) and somatostatin analogs (SSAs) therapy based on the paper published and their work experience. This review was readable, relevant citations comprehensive. There is published value after appropriate modifications. Two points should be considered to modify: 1. The title "Somatostatin analogs in gastric carcinoids: for many, but not for all" should be "Somatostatin analogs for gastric carcinoids: for many, but not all". 2. Need to standardize the format of the tables, esp. table 3.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16497

Title: Somatostatin analogs in gastric carcinoids: for many, but not for all

Reviewer's code: 03026418

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-01-21 08:28

Date reviewed: 2015-02-05 14:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The clinical management of Gastric Carcinoids (GCs) by somastatin is an interesting topic and worth discussing. I would like to point out that in countries where advanced endoscopic resection techniques are popular, multi-focal GCs or GCs involving the submucosal layer can still be resected and hence endoscopic operation was preferred as first line therapy. Endoscopic resection can be more effective and economic compared to somatostatin treatment in such cases. The authors could discuss more about this issue.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 16497

Title: Somatostatin analogs in gastric carcinoids: for many, but not for all

Reviewer's code: 00070583

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-01-21 08:28

Date reviewed: 2015-02-06 23:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors discuss the use of somatostatin analogs as treatment for some gastric carcinoids, starting with introductory notions regarding this pathology. The editorial is well written and touches the main ideas in a satisfactory manner. I would advise polishing the language and correcting the mistakes. Perhaps a more in-depth debate on the use of other treatment techniques in conjunction or as an alternative to SSAs can further enhance the manuscript.